WHITE PAPER SERIES | FINANCIAL LITERACY

The Mandate Is Not Enough

Financial Literacy, House Bill 27, and Why Texas Schools Must Act Now

---

David Gatchell
Four Gifts Publishing | Austin, TX

June 2026

Series No. 2026-01 | Version 1.0

Suggested citation: Gatchell, D. (2026). The mandate is not enough: Financial literacy, House Bill 27, and why Texas schools must act now (White Paper No. 2026-01). Four Gifts Publishing.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The law tells you what to do. The research says act now, for every student, not just the ones the mandate requires.

In June 2025, Texas enacted House Bill 27, requiring every public high school student to complete a dedicated half-credit personal finance course before graduation, beginning with the Class of 2030. The bill passed 135 to 2 in the House and unanimously in the Senate, a level of bipartisan agreement that reflects a settled judgment: financial capability is foundational preparation for adult life, and Texas has not been building it.

The need is documented and severe. Texas ranks in the bottom ten states for financial literacy. Before HB 27, only about two percent of Texas students were guaranteed access to a standalone personal finance course, among the lowest rates in the nation. Generation Z answers only 38 percent of basic personal finance questions correctly, the weakest performance of any generation measured. Financially literate individuals accumulate 30 to 45 percent more wealth over their lifetimes than comparable peers, a gap that compounds across decades.

This paper argues that HB 27 is valuable and much needed; but not sufficient. The mandate leaves three cohorts behind: students entering 10th, 11th, and 12th grade this fall, close to 1.25 million young Texans, will graduate with no guarantee of financial education, even though the courses, standards, and curricula to teach them already exist. Standards remain unfinished weeks before instruction begins. Teacher assignment rules block qualified educators. And the free digital curriculum landscape, strong for well-resourced schools, cannot reach small and rural campuses without trained teachers or reliable technology.

The districts profiled in this paper (Richardson, Allen, Plano, and North Lamar ISDs) did not wait for compulsion. The research on early movers is consistent: program quality strengthens with each cohort. A district that launches now delivers its best instruction by the time the mandate's first class arrives. The question is not whether Texas will teach personal finance. The question is whether its educators will teach it to every student now, or only to those the law eventually compels them to reach.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every spring, roughly 350,000 to 400,000 Texans walk across a graduation stage and into a financial system of remarkable complexity: credit cards marketed on campus, student loan documents written for lawyers, buy-now-pay-later apps embedded in every checkout flow, employer benefit elections with lifelong consequences, and an investment landscape that now includes everything from index funds to social-media-promoted cryptocurrency schemes. For most of the last two decades, Texas asked these graduates to demonstrate a half credit of economics, a course in which personal finance occupied a minority of the standards, and offered personal financial literacy only as an elective that most students never took.[3,18,21]

House Bill 27 (89th Legislature, 2025) changes that. It establishes a dedicated one-half-credit personal financial literacy course as a graduation requirement for every Texas public high school student beginning with the cohort entering ninth grade in 2026-27.[1,8] This paper is written for the people who must now make that requirement real: superintendents, curriculum directors, principals, counselors, and Education Service Center (ESC) staff.

This paper pursues five questions. First, what is the actual state of financial literacy among Texans and among the young people now in our high schools? Second, what precisely does HB 27 require, and where does state-level implementation stand as of June 2026? Third, what does credible research say about whether mandated personal finance courses change life outcomes? Fourth, how prepared are Texas high schools, including which districts have visible plans, what distinguishes the strong ones, and where are the gaps? Fifth, what should state officials, districts, and campuses do to roll the course out quickly and well, and why should they refuse to wait? This paper proceeds in eight sections, from the state of financial literacy through legislative analysis, evidence review, district readiness, the cost of delay, and final recommendations.

2. METHODOLOGY, SCOPE, AND LIMITATIONS

This white paper synthesizes publicly available sources gathered through structured web research conducted in early June 2026, with research compilation and drafting assistance provided by Claude, an artificial intelligence assistant developed by Anthropic. Sources fall into five categories: (1) primary legislative materials; (2) state rulemaking records from the Texas Education Agency (TEA) and State Board of Education (SBOE) through April 2026; (3) peer-reviewed and institutional research on financial literacy and state mandates; (4) reporting from education-focused outlets and stakeholder organizations; and (5) program documentation from districts and partners.

A note on institutional roles maintained throughout: the SBOE sets curriculum standards (Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills, or TEKS) and approves instructional materials.

TEA is the executive agency that implements policy, manages the EMAT ordering system, publishes guidance, and oversees districts. These are legally distinct entities. Three limitations apply: no statewide readiness dataset exists for HB 27 implementation; state rulemaking was still in motion at time of writing; and the peer-reviewed economics literature on financial education is richer and more contested than any single policy document can fully represent.[13,42]

3. THE STATE OF FINANCIAL LITERACY: NATIONAL EVIDENCE AND TEXAS STANDING

3.1 A Stagnant National Baseline and a Struggling Youngest Generation

The most consistent longitudinal measure of American financial knowledge, the TIAA Institute-GFLEC Personal Finance Index (P-Fin Index), has asked U.S. adults a battery of 28 functional personal finance questions every year since 2017. In 2025, adults answered an average of 49 percent correctly, essentially unchanged from the 2017 result, despite a decade of financial technology disruption and proliferating financial products.[14,15] Financial knowledge in America is not improving on its own.

Generation Z, the cohort now in and just out of high school, averaged only 38 percent correct, the lowest of any generation studied, with roughly 37 percent falling into the very low literacy band. Adults with very low financial literacy were about twice as likely to be debt-constrained, roughly three times as likely to be financially fragile, and about five times as likely to lack even one month of emergency savings.[14,15]

The stakes extend beyond credit scores. Research by Professor Annamaria Lusardi and colleagues consistently finds that financially literate individuals accumulate 30 to 45 percent more wealth over their lifetimes than demographically similar peers with low financial literacy, a compounding gap that shapes retirement security, housing stability, and intergenerational opportunity.[90] A persistent gender gap compounds these concerns: women score lower than men on financial literacy measures across more than two decades of data and dozens of countries.[14] The United States compares poorly to many Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) peer nations in financial education access and outcomes; HB 27 moves Texas toward international norms.[15]

3.2 Texas Specifically: Bottom-Ten Standing and Thin Course Access

The House Public Education Committee's analysis of HB 27 stated plainly that, according to the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, Texas ranks among the bottom ten states in financial literacy, and that despite the elective courses created over the prior decade there was a persistent lack of access and insufficient student uptake.[3] The Senate Research Center's analysis of Senate Bill 1063 (SB 1063) in 2021 had already quantified householdlevel symptoms: roughly 21 percent of Texas households were spending more than their income, and about 48 percent lacked a rainy-day fund.[18]

Next Gen Personal Finance's (NGPF) State of Financial Education reports, built on annual reviews of course catalogs from more than 12,000 U.S. public high schools, found that as of the 2022 report only a little over two percent of Texas high school students were guaranteed to take a standalone personal finance course before graduation, with students of color, economically disadvantaged students, and urban students least likely to have access.[24,23]

The pre-HB 27 system did provide some instruction. Since 2007, every Texas graduate received personal finance content embedded in the required economics course. Since 2016-17, every district was required to offer a standalone half-credit Personal Financial Literacy elective. Since 2022-23, a hybrid Personal Financial Literacy and Economics (PFL&E) course (two-thirds personal finance, one-third economics) was available as an alternative to traditional economics.[21,22,18,19] But offering is not taking. Too few students opted in, and smaller high schools (those under 500 students) could obtain exceptions permitting them to offer only one course, which in practice sometimes excluded the hybrid from the rural and low-income communities whose students score lowest on financial literacy measures.[3,28]

Indicator	Finding	Source
U.S. adult P-Fin score, 2025	49% correct; unchanged since 2017	TIAA Institute-GFLEC (2025)
Gen Z P-Fin score, 2025	38% correct; lowest of all generations	TIAA Institute-GFLEC (2025)
Fragility multiples (very low literacy)	Approximately 3x financially fragile; approximately 5x no emergency savings	TIAA Institute-GFLEC (2025)
Lifetime wealth gap	30-45% less wealth vs. financially literate peers	Lusardi et al. (research summary)
Gender gap	Women score lower than men; documented 20+ years globally	TIAA Institute-GFLEC (2025)
Texas state ranking	Bottom 10 states in financial literacy	Dallas Fed, cited in CSHB 27 analysis
TX households without rainy-day fund	Approximately 48%	SRC, SB 1063 analysis (2021)
TX students guaranteed PFL course (pre-mandate)	Approximately 2% (2022 report year)	NGPF, via Community Impact

Table 1. Selected financial literacy indicators, national and Texas. Figures as reported in cited sources; see References.

4. THE LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY LANDSCAPE

4.1 Two Decades of Incremental Steps

HB 27 is best understood as the final step in a twenty-year progression. In 2005, House Bill 492 required personal finance instruction to be incorporated into the economics credit. In 2013, House Bill 2662 required every district to provide a standalone half-credit Personal Financial Literacy elective from 2016-17. In 2021, SB 1063 created the hybrid PFL&E course (two-thirds personal finance, one-third economics), which districts were required to offer from 2022-23 and which students could take in place of traditional economics to satisfy graduation requirements.[21,22,18,19] Each step expanded supply; none guaranteed that any individual student would receive meaningful personal finance instruction. HB 27 closes that gap.

Year	Measure	Effect
2005	HB 492 (79th Leg.)	Personal finance instruction embedded within the required economics credit, from 2006-07.
2013	HB 2662 (83rd Leg.)	Every district must offer a standalone half-credit PFL elective; SBOE TEKS adopted 2016; offered from 2016-17.
2021	SB 1063 (87th Leg.)	Creates hybrid PFL&E course (2/3 personal finance, 1/3 economics); required offering from 2022-23; counts toward the economics requirement; small-school exception permitted offering only one course.
2025	HB 27 (89th Leg.)	Standalone half-credit Personal Financial Literacy course becomes a graduation requirement for students entering grade 9 in 2026-27 and after; economics becomes a one-credit option; SBOE must designate a substantively similar AP option; TEA must publish a list of free, open-source curricula.

Table 2. Texas personal financial literacy legislation, 2005-2025. Sources: enrolled bill texts and committee analyses (References 1-3, 18-22).

4.2 What HB 27 Actually Requires

Signed by Governor Abbott on June 20, 2025, after a 135-to-2 House vote and a unanimous Senate vote, HB 27 amends Section 28.025 of the Education Code in four principal ways.[4,5,1] First, it creates a required standalone course: students entering ninth grade in 2026-27 or later must successfully complete one-half credit in personal financial literacy as part of the social studies requirement. Second, it restructures the economics pathway: economics becomes a one-credit course students may select, along with world geography or world history, as their third social studies credit, or take as an elective. Third, it establishes an Advanced Placement pathway: the SBOE must allow students to satisfy the requirement through an AP course it designates as containing substantively similar and rigorous content. Fourth, it requires free instructional materials: TEA must develop and publish a list of free, open-source, publicly available curricula districts may use, and may seek federal or private grant funds to support the courses.[8,12,20]

The fiscal architecture deserves candor. The bill was deliberately structured to minimize state cost by leaning on existing course infrastructure and free materials; the Legislative Budget Board concluded the state could absorb its duties with existing resources and that districts could implement within current structures.[7] In practice, this means that master scheduling, teacher preparation, materials selection, and professional development are unfunded local responsibilities. The Legislature mandated the outcome and directed the operational work to districts, a design that reflects a reasonable fiscal philosophy (the infrastructure built under SB 1063 is real and useful) but leaves administrators with significant absorbed costs.

4.3 Where State Implementation Stands (as of June 2026)

The rulemaking timeline is unusually compressed. The SBOE must adopt and publish the new Personal Financial Literacy TEKS by July 31, 2026, with instruction beginning in the 2026-27 school year.[9] In September 2025, the SBOE held its first formal discussion of HB 27's requirements alongside its broader social studies TEKS revision.[8,39] In November 2025, the board considered PFL-related TEKS items while TEA staff briefed members on the crowded instructional-materials review pipeline.[40] In January 2026, the board gave first-reading approval to amendments to 19 TAC Section 74.3 updating the list of social studies courses, debated which AP course should satisfy the PFL requirement, and was told by TEA staff that the PFL TEKS themselves were still in development, making alignment crosswalks impossible to complete; a public comment period ran February 27 through March 30, 2026.[10,12] In April 2026, the board took up second reading and final adoption of the course-offering amendment and presented for first reading the proposed new Chapter 113 social studies TEKS implementing HB 27.[11,25,7] In May 2026, an informal public comment process opened on competing TEKS drafts; NGPF's review found one framework more rigorous and more relevant to modern financial decision-making, emphasizing calculation over recall.[13,41]

Two unresolved policy questions deserve administrators' attention. The first concerns the AP substitution. In January 2026, SBOE Member Evelyn Brooks moved to replace AP Business with Personal Finance with AP Microeconomics as the designated option, arguing that only one unit of the proposed AP course addressed personal finance; the amendment failed 1-to-8. The AP designation question, complicated by the fact that the PFL TEKS were not yet final, remains live as of this writing.[10] The second concerns who may teach the course. SBEC assignment rules reviewed in January 2026 permit only social studies-certified teachers, not mathematics or business or CTE teachers, to teach the new PFL course. A classroom educator testified that this was inadequate; committee members agreed in substance yet voted 11-to-0 to let the rule take effect, requesting (without binding force) that SBEC consider a future amendment.[10] Pending that change, districts should consult TEA regarding available assignment options, including supplemental certification pathways, before concluding that a math or CTE teacher is ineligible.

A critical distinction for curriculum directors: the open-education resource (OER) list TEA has published (including materials from the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, the Council for Economic Education, NGPF, and EVERFI) was developed for the SB 1063 hybrid course governed by Section 113.76.[20] The new HB 27 standalone course will have its own TEKS and its own OER list. As of this writing, the new course's OER list does not yet exist because the new TEKS have not been finally adopted. Districts using the Section 113.76 materials as an interim resource should plan to verify alignment once final TEKS are published. The Instructional Materials Review and Approval (IMRA) cycle for State Board-approved materials specific to the new course will likely not be completed until 2027 at the earliest; until then, districts purchase interim materials from discretionary IMTA allotment funds rather than the SBOE-approved entitlement.

5. DOES REQUIRED FINANCIAL EDUCATION WORK? THE EVIDENCE

5.1 The Texas Natural Experiment and the Standalone Course Finding

Texas does not have to speculate about whether financial education mandates change outcomes; it ran the experiment. When Texas, Georgia, and Idaho implemented personal financial education mandates in 2007, researchers at the Federal Reserve Board, the University of Wisconsin-Madison, and Montana State University used a panel of credit-bureau records to compare young adults schooled under the mandates with carefully constructed control groups. The finding: young people educated after implementation had higher relative credit scores and lower relative delinquency rates through their early twenties than peers in control states, with improvements estimated in the range of 10 to 20 credit score points.[16]

A more recent and more directly applicable finding comes from Collins and Urban (2025), whose study specifically asked whether the type of mandate matters: standalone course versus embedded content. Their result is unambiguous. States requiring a full standalone semester of personal finance coursework improve both credit scores and subjective financial well-being; states that allow flexibility to embed personal finance content within other courses show no statistically meaningful benefit.[42] HB 27's policy design (a dedicated standalone half-credit course rather than continued reliance on embedded economics content) is directly and specifically validated by this finding. Administrators who might consider relabeling an existing economics course to satisfy the mandate should understand that the research predicts no benefit from that approach.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's (CFPB) review of the youth financial education literature highlighted that implementation quality tends to improve over time, with some evidence of stronger outcomes as schools refine instruction across successive cohorts.[17] A district that launches a serious course in 2026 will be delivering stronger instruction by 2028; one that stalls pushes that maturity curve further into the future.

5.2 The Broader Research Record

The mandate studies sit within a larger and increasingly persuasive literature. Kaiser, Lusardi, Menkhoff, and Urban (2022), synthesizing 76 randomized controlled experiments in the Journal of Financial Economics, found that well-implemented financial education reliably improves both financial knowledge and subsequent financial behaviors.[37] The P-Fin Index consistently finds that adults who received financial education score materially higher than demographically similar adults who did not.[14] And research by Lusardi and colleagues consistently finds the 30 to 45 percent lifetime wealth accumulation advantage described in Section 3.[90]

Honest advocacy requires acknowledging the boundaries of this evidence. Effect sizes in credit-outcome studies are typically modest in absolute terms (10 to 20 credit score points in the mandate studies), and poorly taught or compliance-oriented courses produce little. The policy case rests on an asymmetry: a half-credit course taught with free high-quality materials by a prepared teacher is among the cheapest interventions in education relative to its documented downstream benefit.[7]

5.3 The Structural Gap the Free Digital Landscape Does Not Fill

The free curriculum resources available to Texas districts are genuinely strong. NGPF, EVERFI, the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, and the Council for Economic Education each offer high-quality instructional content at no charge, and TEA's published OER list consolidates them.[20] For districts with trained, motivated teachers and reliable technology infrastructure, these tools are effective and appropriate.

What the free digital landscape does not provide is a self-contained, sequenced course that requires no teacher expertise to architect and no technology infrastructure to deliver. Every free platform currently available requires the teacher to act as the course designer: selecting lessons from a large library, sequencing them into a coherent semester arc, filling content gaps, building assessments, and updating the selection year to year. For a confident, well-trained teacher who chose the course, this flexibility is an asset. For the social studies teacher who was assigned the course in August with three days' notice and no personal finance background (the teacher who will staff this course at a significant share of Texas campuses, particularly small and rural ones), it is an implementation barrier that the research predicts will produce inconsistent and low-quality delivery.[10,29]

Additionally, every major free platform is entirely browser-based. Reliable one-to-one device access and stable broadband are prerequisites. These are precisely the infrastructure conditions that rural and low-income Texas campuses cannot guarantee, the same campuses least likely to have a trained PFL teacher, most likely to have exercised the SB 1063 small-school exception, and most in need of a course that works on day one without digital dependencies.[28,29]

This gap (between what free digital platforms provide and what an underprepared teacher in a low-infrastructure school needs) is the most consequential unresolved implementation challenge HB 27 creates. It is also the gap most likely to produce the outcome the Legislature explicitly sought to prevent: a mandate that technically exists but fails to deliver meaningful financial capability to the students who need it most.

5.4 What the Evidence Implies About Program Quality

Reading across the mandate studies, the meta-analytic literature, and implementation reviews from early-adopting states, five quality markers recur. A strong PFL program requires: a standalone semester-length course, because dosage and focus drive outcomes and embedded content produces no measurable benefit (Collins and Urban, 2025); trained and willing teachers, the strongest single implementation variable, with subject-specific professional development completed before the first day of instruction; application-based pedagogy centered on real pay stubs, tax forms, loan comparisons, and investment simulations rather than lecture and worksheet delivery; rigorous standards requiring students to calculate, compare, and evaluate rather than recall definitions; and validated measurement, including pre/post knowledge assessments grounded in constructs such as the Big Three questions (compound interest, inflation, and risk diversification) and completion data disaggregated by student group. What made the mandate-effect research possible is measurement; without it, a district cannot know whether its course is working.[14,16,42]

6. HOW READY ARE TEXAS HIGH SCHOOLS?

There is no statewide public scorecard of HB 27 readiness, and any honest assessment must begin there. What can be documented is a spectrum: districts that built substantial financial education infrastructure years before the mandate; districts whose existing course offerings position them for a routine transition; and a large, hard-to-quantify population of campuses (disproportionately small and rural) whose structural circumstances suggest real implementation risk. This section presents each in turn, then catalogs the staffing, budget, and timeline constraints that district leaders and educators have raised in public forums.

6.1 Districts With Visible Programs and Plans

Richardson ISD opened a fully functioning, student-staffed Credit Union of Texas (CUTX) SMART Branch on the campus of Berkner High School in September 2023, a working bank branch building student financial capability alongside community access. The district context makes the example instructive: RISD serves more than 37,000 students across 55 campuses, approximately 70 percent students of color and nearly 56 percent economically disadvantaged, precisely the populations national data show are least likely to have access to personal finance coursework absent deliberate action.[24]

Allen ISD opened the first student-run, full-service credit union branch of its kind in Dallas-Fort Worth in January 2021, staffed by junior and senior student employees alongside one full-time CUTX professional. More than 200 students applied for 15 positions spanning branch manager, teller, financial literacy coach, and marketing roles, each receiving two weeks of training before opening. A financial literacy center adjacent to the branch delivers peer-instruction modules on money management, loans, credit, and investing.[26]

Plano ISD integrates a student-run credit union within its career and technical education (CTE) program, connecting the required PFL course to authentic work-based learning rather than positioning it as an isolated graduation hurdle.[36]

North Lamar ISD (Paris, Texas) announced in November 2025 a partnership with CUTX to open a student-run SMART Branch and financial literacy center inside North Lamar High School in Fall 2026, timed precisely to the first semester of the HB 27 cohort. The branch will operate within the district's CTE program, run by students with support from one full-time credit union employee, connecting classroom financial literacy instruction to real-world financial services.[27]

The districts named above are named because their work is publicly documented, not because they are the only ones acting. Many districts have quietly offered the SB 1063 hybrid course at scale since 2022-23 and will treat HB 27 as an evolution rather than a launch. The documented examples matter because they illustrate replicable models, and because the partnership-based ones arrived at little or no district cost.

6.2 Where Readiness Is Doubtful

No published source identifies specific districts that have made no HB 27 progress, and this paper will not manufacture such a list. Three structural indicators justify concern, however, and district leaders should evaluate honestly whether any apply to their campus.

The first is the small-school precedent. Under SB 1063, high schools under 500 students could obtain exceptions to offer only one economics-credit course, and in many smaller districts the personal-finance hybrid was consequently never available. Districts that exercised that exception have never staffed or scheduled a personal finance course and start the HB 27 transition from zero.[28]

The second is that standards are not yet final. The PFL TEKS were still in development in January 2026 and in informal public comment in May 2026, with statutory adoption required by July 31, 2026. Even diligent districts cannot complete curriculum alignment, assessment design, or materials procurement against standards that do not yet exist in final form. Districts should plan summer professional development around content and pedagogy (which does not change with standards) and build in a follow-up alignment session once TEKS are published.[10,13]

The third is master schedule inertia. For many small districts, master schedules for 2026-27 were largely set in fall 2025. Districts that did not provisionally schedule PFL sections during that window now face course surgery, a harder fix than the paper's urgency framing implies, and one worth escalating to the superintendent and board now rather than in August.

6.3 Qualities and Metrics of Strong Programs

Drawing on the evidence reviewed in Section 5, the standards debate documented in Section 4, and the practice of the exemplar districts, a strong Texas PFL program in 2026-27 will exhibit the following characteristics. A district can audit itself against this framework in an afternoon.

Dimension	Quality Marker	Measurable Indicator
Course structure	Standalone semester course; every student in the mandate cohort can access it without displacing graduation-critical credits	Percent of grade cohort enrolled; section count vs. cohort size
Teaching staff	Certified, appropriately assigned teachers who chose or were recruited for the course; subject-specific professional development completed before day one	PD hours per PFL teacher; percent sections taught by certified staff; teacher retention year-over-year
Curriculum rigor	Standards-aligned materials requiring calculation and evaluation (taxes and take-home pay, total compensation, credit cost, investment growth, bias recognition)	Curriculum audit against final TEKS; presence of applied tasks (budgets, tax forms, loan comparisons)
Pedagogy	Simulation- and application-based instruction using authentic documents; experiential anchors such as student-run branches or community partner events where available	Percent of units with applied performance task; partner engagement log
Assessment	Validated pre/post knowledge assessment grounded in Big Three constructs (compound interest, inflation, risk diversification) or a standardized end-of-course instrument; capstone artifact	Mean knowledge gain score; percent completing capstone; consider NGPF, JumpStart, or NFEC validated instruments

Dimension	Quality Marker	Measurable Indicator
Equity of reach	Enrollment and completion tracked by student group including English learner (EL) and special education students; accommodations documented; modified materials available where needed	Completion rates disaggregated by economic status, race/ethnicity, EL status, special education
Sustainability	Named course owner; budget line (even if zero dollars via free curricula); succession plan for teacher turnover; board-visible annual review	Documented program plan reviewed annually; teacher retention tracked

Table 3. A self-audit framework for district PFL programs. Synthesized from References 5, 13, 16, 17, 23-27, 37, and 42.

6.4 Staffing, Budget, and Timeline Constraints

The single most concrete complaint on the public record is the SBEC assignment rule restricting the new course to social studies-certified teachers. An educator testified to the SBOE in January 2026 that excluding math teachers was inadequate; committee members agreed in substance but allowed the rule to take effect, leaving any fix to SBEC's discretion on an unspecified timeline.[10] Pending that change, districts should consult TEA regarding available assignment options before concluding that a math or CTE teacher is ineligible.

The staffing backdrop is also challenging. Texas entered the 2025-26 school year with thousands of vacant teaching positions; TEA data show the share of uncertified teachers tripled from 3.8 percent in 2019-20 to 12 percent in 2024-25.[29,30] The problem is most acute in rural districts, where studies have found that half to more than three-quarters of new hires are uncertified and leave the profession at far higher rates.[30,31] A new required course competing for certified social studies staff enters this market at a disadvantage unless leadership actively protects it.

Budget and materials present a related challenge. The free curriculum options are genuinely strong; the Section 113.76 OER list includes Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas materials, Council for Economic Education lessons, NGPF's full curriculum (including its pre-built course sequence), and EVERFI's sponsored digital courses.[20] But free curriculum does not mean free implementation: substitute coverage for professional development, planning stipends, assessment platforms, and counseling time to revise four-year plans are real costs the fiscal note leaves with districts. High-poverty schools should additionally budget for approximately 10 to 15 percent additional materials copies to account for replacement needs in resource-constrained student populations.

Finally, the timeline is compressed in a way that creates downstream planning problems. Standards finalized in late July for an August launch means districts cannot complete curriculum alignment before master schedules lock. Districts should plan summer professional development around content mastery and pedagogy now, and execute the final TEKS alignment pass as a delta exercise once standards are published. Waiting for final TEKS before beginning any teacher preparation will leave teachers unprepared on the first day of school.

7. THE COST OF WAITING: THREE COHORTS LEFT BEHIND

The law tells you what to do. The research says act now, for every student, not just the ones the mandate requires.

HB 27's phase-in is administratively sensible and humanly costly. Because the requirement attaches only to students entering ninth grade in 2026-27 and later, it does nothing for the students already in high school. Texas enrolled on the order of 1.6 million students in grades 9-12 in 2025-26.[35] Today's rising sophomores (entered ninth grade in 2025-26), juniors (2024-25), and seniors (2023-24) (the Classes of 2027, 2028, and 2029) will graduate under the old rules: an economics half-credit with embedded finance strands, and an elective most will never select.[3]

Consider what the state has already conceded about these students' situation. The Legislature found the existing elective system left a persistent access gap and insufficient uptake; the Dallas Fed places the state in the bottom ten for financial literacy; the national data show their generation answering barely a third of basic personal finance questions correctly and entering adulthood with multiplied odds of financial fragility and substantially lower lifetime wealth accumulation.[3,14,90] Every one of those findings applies with identical force to the Class of 2027 (the students who will sign their first apartment leases, take their first auto loans, and complete their first Free Application for Federal Student Aid forms years before the first mandated cohort does).

If program effects strengthen with successive graduating classes (as the Federal Reserve and CFPB analyses suggest), then a district that launches voluntarily in 2026-27 for all grade levels reaches instructional maturity by the time the mandate's first cohort graduates, while a district that waits delivers its weakest version of the course to the very cohort the law was written to protect.[16,17,42] Early adoption is not merely generous to the uncovered cohorts; it is the best preparation for the covered ones.

Nothing in law or rule prevents acting now. The standalone Personal Financial Literacy elective has existed with adopted standards since 2016. The hybrid PFL&E course satisfies the economics graduation requirement since 2022-23, meaning a district can today route every current student through a course that is two-thirds personal finance without adding a single credit to anyone's graduation plan. And the free-materials list removes the procurement barrier.[19,20,22] A district that defaults current sophomores and juniors into the hybrid course this fall (working with counselors to revise four-year plans and communicate to parents) while standing up the new standalone course for incoming freshmen covers every student at the cost of a scheduling and counseling decision.

The question administrators should sit with is the one this paper was written to answer: if this content is valuable (and the Legislature, the research record, and the financial industry's own data all say it is), why would any school withhold it from a student simply because she was born one year too early for the mandate? Waiting is not neutrality. For roughly 350,000 graduates per year, it is a decision, made by adults who know better, that the school of costly mistakes will remain those students' default financial educator.[5]

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations below are sequenced for speed. All are achievable before the first HB 27 cohort arrives. None requires new legislation, and most require no new money beyond staff time.

8.1 For State Officials (SBOE, TEA, SBEC, and the Legislature)

State officials face six time-sensitive priorities. First, the SBOE should create readiness transparency before fall 2026 by requiring a one-time PEIMS-compatible indicator (sections scheduled, teacher assigned, materials selected) and publishing district-level results. The absence of any readiness reporting means implementation failures will be discovered by students; a minimal disclosure mechanism lets ESCs and TEA target support before that happens. This is the highest-leverage single action available to the state.

Second, the SBOE must adopt final PFL TEKS on schedule and publish them immediately. Meeting the July 31, 2026 statutory deadline with standards at the rigor end of the proposals under comment (requiring calculation, comparison, and bias-recognition skills rather than mere recall) and releasing the adopted text alongside a one-page counselor summary and a crosswalk to the Section 113.76 TEKS would allow districts to complete alignment before school starts.[9,13]

Third, SBEC should reopen the assignment rule and extend PFL eligibility to mathematics and business or finance CTE certificate holders, as SBOE members unanimously urged in January 2026. Pending that action, TEA should publish guidance on supplemental certification and assignment waiver pathways for districts whose financial literacy expertise sits outside the social studies department.[10]

Fourth, TEA must finalize the list of free curricula for the new standalone course and use the bill's grant authority to underwrite summer 2026 professional development cohorts, with priority given to small and rural districts that exercised the SB 1063 single-course exception and are starting the HB 27 transition from zero.[8,20,28]

Fifth, the SBOE should resolve the AP course designation only after a transparent alignment analysis against the final TEKS and publish that analysis so districts and families can evaluate the substitution's adequacy.[10]

Sixth, TEA should issue guidance confirming that districts may schedule current students into the SB 1063 hybrid course to satisfy their economics requirement. The Legislature should additionally consider a modest completion-based allotment for districts that voluntarily close the gap for the Classes of 2027, 2028, and 2029. This paper advocates for that action; it is not existing TEA guidance.[19]

8.2 For Independent School Districts

District leaders face eight concrete steps, all achievable before fall 2026.

First, call the regional Education Service Center (ESC) social studies coordinator today. ESC specialists are already planning HB 27 implementation workshops, and that call is the single highest-value action available to any district that has not yet started planning.

Second, designate a district-level PFL program lead this month, accountable for the August 2026 launch, with a board-visible implementation plan covering staffing, sections, materials, professional development, and assessment.

Third, build the master schedule for every student, not just incoming freshmen. Schedule PFL sections for the entering ninth-grade cohort and, in the same exercise, route current sophomores and juniors into the PFL&E hybrid for their economics credit. Students currently enrolled in AP Economics or who have already satisfied the economics credit through credit recovery should be handled individually by counselors.[19]

Fourth, recruit teachers by interest and then train thoroughly. Identify social studies-certified teachers with genuine enthusiasm, use NGPF's free certification pathways and Dallas Fed educator resources for summer professional development, and focus summer training on content mastery and pedagogy while reserving the TEKS alignment pass for after standards are finalized in late July.[5,20,7]

Fifth, select interim curriculum from the existing Section 113.76 OER list before summer and run the alignment pass against adopted TEKS as a delta exercise once standards are published. High-poverty schools should budget for 10 to 15 percent additional materials copies to account for replacement needs.[20,10]

Sixth, plan parent and counselor communications now. For current students whose four-year plans are changing, prepare parent notification letters and counselor talking points before revising plans. For incoming freshmen, update eighth-grade transition materials to include the new requirement. Clear early communication prevents senior-year compliance crises.

Seventh, pursue a no-cost experiential partner. The Richardson, Allen, Plano, and North Lamar models demonstrate that credit unions and banks will fund on-campus branches, financial literacy centers, and sponsored curricula through Community Reinvestment Act obligations and membership incentives.[24,26,27,36]

Eighth, measure from day one. Adopt the Table 3 framework: validated pre/post assessments, capstone completion tracking, and enrollment and completion data disaggregated by student group including English learners and students receiving special education services, reported annually to the board.[16,17,42]

8.3 For Individual Campuses and Educators

Campus leaders and educators face four immediate tasks.

First, counselors should update eighth-grade transition materials and current-student four-year plans to reflect the new requirement and the hybrid option for uncovered cohorts, preparing parent communication letters before revising any plans. The PFL course builds skills directly relevant to Free Application for Federal Student Aid completion (understanding loan types, interest rates, and repayment options), which reduces the FAFSA support burden counselors carry every spring.

Second, teachers should complete NGPF's free professional development certification courses this summer, focusing on content knowledge and classroom facilitation. Texas teachers had logged nearly 20,000 hours of this training before the bill was signed; the investment is well established and the certification is free.[5]

Third, principals should staff the course as a priority rather than a placeholder. The research distinction between effective personal finance instruction and ineffective compliance-course delivery is, at campus level, a staffing decision. Assign teachers who chose the course or were specifically recruited for it; the certification category matters less than genuine subject interest and preparation.[16,17,42]

Fourth, any campus can open Personal Financial Literacy elective sections for juniors and seniors immediately under the 2016 elective standards. A fall 2026 pilot generates teacher experience and student outcome data that make the full rollout succeed, and extends the benefit to students the mandate will not reach.[22]

9. CONCLUSION

Texas has settled the question of whether. A near-unanimous Legislature, a bottom-ten state literacy ranking, a generation answering 38 percent of basic money questions correctly, a documented 30 to 45 percent lifetime wealth gap between the financially literate and the financially illiterate, and a research record showing that standalone mandated personal finance instruction measurably improves young adults' financial lives (including in Texas's own 2007 natural experiment) together constitute as complete a policy case as education policy ever produces.[3,14,16,42,90]

What remains unsettled is execution: standards finalized weeks before launch, an assignment rule that walls off willing teachers, a strained certified-teacher labor market, no readiness transparency, and a phase-in that leaves three full cohorts (well over a million current high schoolers) graduating without the guarantee the Legislature extended to those who follow them.[9,10,29,35]

Every tool needed to close those gaps already exists: adopted course standards, a hybrid course that satisfies current graduation requirements, free curricula, free teacher training, and partnership models proven in districts from Allen to North Lamar.[19,20,22,5,24] The districts profiled in this paper did not wait for compulsion, and the evidence says their students will be better for it. The mandate sets a floor and a deadline. Leadership is measured by who refuses to treat the floor as a ceiling or the deadline as a starting gun.

REFERENCES

Brown, A., Collins, J. M., Schmeiser, M., & Urban, C. (2014). State mandated financial education and the credit behavior of young adults. Federal Reserve Board Finance and Economics Discussion Series No. 2014-68. https://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/feds/2014/201468/201468abs.html

Collins, J. M., & Urban, C. (2025). The devil is in the details: State-mandated personal finance education and financial well-being. IZA Discussion Paper No. 18268. Institute of Labor Economics. https://www.iza.org/publications/dp/18268

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. (2019). A review of youth financial education: Effects and evidence. https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_youth-financial-education_lit-review.pdf

Community Impact. (2023, September 13). Richardson ISD opens student-run bank to boost financial literacy. https://communityimpact.com/dallas-fort-worth/richardson/education/2023/09/13/richardson-isd-opens-student-run-bank-to-boost-financial-literacy/

Community Impact. (2025, November 14). Discover how Plano ISD students unlock career potential through expanding opportunities (sponsored). https://communityimpact.com/sponsored/sponsored/2025/11/14/discover-how-plano-isd-students-unlock-career-potential-through-expanding-opportunities/

Credit Union of Texas. (2021). Credit Union of Texas opens new Allen High School SMART Branch staffed by student employees. CUInsight. https://www.cuinsight.com/press-release/credit-union-of-texas-opens-new-allen-high-school-smart-branch-staffed-by-student-employees/

Gatchell, D. (2026). The mandate is not enough: Financial literacy, House Bill 27, and why Texas schools must act now (White Paper No. 2026-01). Four Gifts Publishing.

Houston Endowment / University of Texas at Austin. (2025, July). New research shows impact of hiring uncertified teachers. https://houstonendowment.org/insights-and-news/new-research-shows-impact-of-hiring-uncertified-teachers

Kaiser, T., Lusardi, A., Menkhoff, L., & Urban, C. (2022). Financial education affects financial knowledge and downstream behaviors. Journal of Financial Economics, 145(2), 255-272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.09.016

LegiScan. (2025). Texas HB27, 2025-2026, 89th Legislature (vote history and status). https://legiscan.com/TX/bill/HB27/2025

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(1), 5-44. https://annamarialusardi.com/research/

MyParisTexas. (2025, November). North Lamar ISD announces partnership with Credit Union of Texas to launch student-run SMART Branch at NLHS. https://myparistexas.com/north-lamar-isd-announces-partnership-with-credit-union-of-texas-to-launch-student-run-smart-branch-at-nlhs/

Next Gen Personal Finance. (2025, April). Insights from the 2025 State of Financial Education Report. https://www.ngpf.org/blog/advocacy/insights-from-the-2025-state-of-financial-education-report/

Next Gen Personal Finance. (2025, June 23). Texas becomes 29th state to require personal finance course for high school graduation with passage of HB 27. https://www.ngpf.org/blog/press-releases/texas-becomes-29th-state-to-require-personal-finance-course-for-high-school-graduation-with-passage-of-hb-27/

Next Gen Personal Finance. (2026, May). Texas students deserve financial literacy standards that are 21st century relevant. https://www.ngpf.org/blog/

PoliScore. (2026). HB 27, Texas, legislative auditor (impact analysis citing the Legislative Budget Board fiscal note). https://poliscore.us/2026/tx/bill/2160/hb/27

Senate Research Center. (2021). Bill analysis, S.B. 1063 (enrolled), 87th Legislature. https://capitol.texas.gov/tlodocs/87R/analysis/pdf/SB01063F.pdf

Senate Research Center. (2025). Bill analysis, H.B. 27 (engrossed), 89th Legislature. https://capitol.texas.gov/tlodocs/89R/analysis/pdf/HB00027E.pdf

State Board of Education. (2025, September 8). Committee of the full board, item 1: Discussion of HB 27 requirements. https://sboe.texas.gov/state-board-of-education/sboe-2025/sboe-2025-september/september-8-2025-committee-of-the-full-board-item-1

State Board of Education. (2026, April). General meeting agenda and items (first reading, proposed new Chapter 113 social studies TEKS). https://sboe.texas.gov/state-board-of-education/sboe-2026/sboe-2026-april/april-2026-sboe-general-meeting

Teach the Vote / ATPE. (2026, February 3). Recap of the January 2026 Texas SBOE meeting. https://teachthevote.atpe.org/Our-Blog/Latest-Posts/Recap-of-the-January-2026-Texas-SBOE-meeting

Texas 2036. (2026, May). Texas public school enrollment trends. https://texas2036.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/TX36_Enrollment_Report_2026.pdf

Texas AFT. (2025, September). SBOE recap: Forging ahead on social studies. https://www.texasaft.org/policy/privatization/charters/sboe-recap-forging-ahead-on-social-studies/

Texas Education Agency. (n.d.). Open education resources: Personal Financial Literacy and Economics high school course. https://tea.texas.gov/system/files/oer-personal-financial-literacy-and-economics-high-school-course.pdf

Texas Education Agency. (n.d.). Personal Financial Literacy and Economics high school course: Frequently asked questions. https://tea.texas.gov/academics/subject-areas/social-studies/personal-financial-literacy-and-economics-faq.pdf

Texas H.B. 27, 89th Legislature, Regular Session. (2025). Enrolled text. https://capitol.texas.gov/tlodocs/89R/billtext/doc/HB00027F.docx

Texas H.B. 492, 79th Legislature. (2005). Enrolled text. https://capitol.texas.gov/tlodocs/79R/billtext/html/HB00492F.htm

Texas House Committee on Public Education. (2025). Bill analysis, C.S.H.B. 27 (committee report, substituted). https://capitol.texas.gov/tlodocs/89R/analysis/html/HB00027H.htm

Texas Impact. (2025, August). HB 27: A money-wise victory for Texas education. https://texasimpact.substack.com/p/hb-27-a-moneywise-victory-for-texas

The Texan. (2025, December). TEA data shows percentage of uncertified teachers in Texas tripled in last five years. https://thetexan.news/issues/education/tea-data-shows-percentage-of-uncertified-teachers-in-texas-tripled-in-last-five-years/article_cfb0e326-3a0b-4786-a8dd-392912404f68.html

The Texas Tribune. (2025, March 15). Texas bill would limit uncertified teachers in schools. https://www.texastribune.org/2025/03/15/texas-school-funding-uncertified-teachers-shortage/

TIAA Institute. (2025, May 29). National financial literacy remains stagnant at 49 as generational gaps widen (news release). https://www.tiaa.org/public/institute/about/news/2025-tiaa-institute-gflec-personal-finance-index

Yakoboski, P., Lusardi, A., & Sticha, A. (2025). Financial literacy and retirement fluency in America: Findings from the 2025 TIAA Institute-GFLEC Personal Finance Index. TIAA Institute. https://www.tiaa.org/content/dam/tiaa/institute/pdf/insights-report/2025-05/financial-literacy-and-retirement-fluency-in-america-p-fin-ir.pdf

All URLs were verified during research conducted in early June 2026.