

Teaching Money to Those Who Need It Most:

The Case for Differentiated Financial Literacy Education in Underserved America

David Gatchell
Four Gifts Publishing | Austin, TX

June 2026

Series No. 2026-02 | Version 1.0

Suggested citation: Gatchell, D. (2026). Teaching money to those who need it most: The case for differentiated financial literacy education in underserved America (White Paper No. 2026-02). Four Gifts Publishing.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

America has a financial literacy crisis, and its consequences are not distributed equally. The communities bearing the greatest burden are precisely those receiving the least effective, least relevant, and least accessible financial education. Tens of millions of people navigate one of the world's most complex financial systems without ever having been formally taught how it works.

The standard institutional response to this crisis is the high school personal finance course. That model was designed for a student on a predictable economic path: a first job, a nearby bank account, perhaps college, and decades ahead to build wealth incrementally. High school students, however imperfectly served, have an institutional advocate: state boards of education set standards, mandate courses, and in 41 states now require some form of personal finance education as a graduation prerequisite (National Association of State Boards of Education, 2025). For underserved adults, including the working poor, government assistance recipients, families threatened by gentrification and displacement, rural households without banking access, and newly arrived immigrants, no equivalent institution exists.

This paper argues that effective financial literacy education for underserved adult populations requires differentiation: by population, by content sequence, by delivery method, and by cultural context. One curriculum cannot serve all communities. The populations that have historically received the least tailored financial education are precisely those for whom tailoring matters most.

The paper develops four supporting arguments. First, the financial literacy crisis is compounded by two forces that standard curricula ignore entirely: a predatory financial economy that deliberately targets low-income and unbanked communities, and the financial displacement caused by gentrification, a crisis demanding financial knowledge with time-sensitive urgency. Second, high school models fail underserved adults not because the underlying content is wrong, but because their assumptions about income structure, banking access, language, housing stability, and motivational orientation are structurally mismatched to adult populations in financial hardship. Third, differentiated financial education requires design across four pillars (content sequencing, contextual relevance, delivery format, and trusted community relationships) and must be evaluated on behavioral outcomes rather than knowledge scores. Fourth, five distinct underserved populations each require genuinely different educational interventions: rural families need farm-as-business financial literacy; families in acute poverty need survival sequencing before aspiration; government assistance recipients need benefits cliff navigation that exists nowhere in standard curricula; urban communities need predatory economy literacy and displacement response; and immigrants need the entire United States financial system taught from first principles.

Practitioners, policymakers, and funders should conclude from this paper that underserved communities do not need a stripped-down version of high school financial education. They need a more honest, more relevant, and more immediately actionable version of the same financial knowledge, designed from the ground up for the people in the room and the financial world they actually inhabit.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2023, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) Foundation found that only 57 percent of American adults could be considered financially literate (FINRA Foundation, 2023). In a nation where credit decisions, housing choices, tax obligations, and retirement planning carry life-altering consequences, that figure represents a profound institutional failure. Yet the aggregate number conceals something more troubling: financial illiteracy does not distribute evenly across the population. It concentrates precisely where its consequences are most severe and where institutional support is most absent.

The gap is not primarily one of information access. Personal finance content is abundant. The gap is structural. Effective financial education requires relevance: examples drawn from the learner's actual financial circumstances; sequencing that matches urgency to content; delivery formats that reach people where they are; and trust between educator and community. Without these elements, even well-designed curriculum produces negligible behavior change.

High school students, however inadequately served, have an institutional backstop: state boards of education. For the tens of millions of underserved adults navigating poverty, government assistance systems, displacement, rural isolation, or the unfamiliar terrain of a new country, no equivalent institution exists. They encounter the American financial system largely without preparation, often at precisely the moments when the stakes are highest.

This paper examines that gap and proposes a response grounded in differentiation: the deliberate design of financial literacy education to match the specific financial realities, challenges, and cultural contexts of defined underserved populations. This paper proceeds in six sections: the scale of the financial literacy crisis and its underexamined dimensions; the specific ways in which high school models fail underserved adults; a framework for differentiated financial literacy education; profiles of five distinct underserved populations and their corresponding educational priorities; implementation principles covering content priorities, delivery formats, and outcome measurement; and policy recommendations for government, funders, and community organizations.

2. BACKGROUND: THE FINANCIAL LITERACY LANDSCAPE

The Scale of the Problem

Financial illiteracy in the United States is pervasive and disproportionate. As illustrated in Table 1, its burden falls most heavily on communities with the least institutional support and the fewest financial buffers.

Table 1. Selected Financial Literacy Indicators, United States

Indicator	Finding	Source
Adult financial literacy	57% of American adults are considered financially literate	FINRA Foundation, 2023
Millennial financial literacy	76% of Millennials lack basic financial literacy	Council for Economic Education, 2022
State graduation mandates	41 states require personal finance education for high school graduation; 30 of these mandate a standalone course	NASBE, 2025; Next Gen Personal Finance, 2025
Unbanked households	5.9 million U.S. households are unbanked; 18.7 million are underbanked	FDIC, 2023
Racial wealth gap	Median Black family net worth is approximately 8x less than white families; Hispanic families approximately 5x less	Federal Reserve SCF, 2022
EITC non-claiming	Approximately 20% of eligible workers fail to claim the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), forfeiting an average of \$2,541 annually	IRS Statistics of Income, 2023
Payday loan usage	12 million Americans use payday loans annually; the average borrower takes out 8 loans per year	Pew Charitable Trusts, 2012

These statistics do not describe a population that is financially irresponsible. They describe a population that was never taught. That distinction matters enormously for program design, for the tone of delivery, and for the ethical foundation of any financial education effort targeting underserved communities.

The Institutional Gap

High school students in the United States have at least one institutional advocate: the state board of education. These bodies set curriculum standards, mandate courses, and evaluate outcomes. The system is imperfect (fewer than half of states currently require dedicated personal finance coursework), but it represents infrastructure that underserved adults simply do not have.

There is no equivalent body mandating financial education for working poor adults. There is no federal standard for financial literacy content delivered to Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) recipients. No agency requires that housing voucher applicants receive instruction in credit building. No institution ensures that a refugee family arriving in the United States receives education in how the American financial system works before they are expected to navigate it independently. The result is a structural vacuum in which the people most vulnerable to financial mistakes receive the least reliable access to financial education.

The Predatory Economy That Fills the Void

Where financial education is absent, predatory financial products are not. Payday lenders, check cashers, rent-to-own retailers, and subprime auto dealers deliberately locate in communities with high concentrations of unbanked, low-income, and minority residents. They profit from the information asymmetry between what they know about the true cost of their products and what their customers understand. Table 2 illustrates the true annual cost of the most common predatory financial products targeting underserved communities.

Table 2. Predatory Financial Products and Their True Cost

Product	True Annual Cost	Primary Target Population
Payday loan (2-week term)	300 to 400% annual percentage rate (APR) equivalent	Low-income and unbanked workers
Check-cashing fee	1 to 3% per transaction (\$300 to \$900 per year on a \$30,000 salary)	Unbanked urban and rural workers
Rent-to-own products	Effective APR of 100 to 300%	Low-income families without credit access
Buy-here-pay-here auto loans	20 to 30% APR with aggressive repossession practices	Workers with damaged or absent credit history

A financial literacy curriculum that teaches budgeting without addressing the specific predatory products operating in the learner's community is incomplete. Effective financial education for underserved communities must name these products, explain their true cost in dollars rather than percentages, and provide the specific knowledge needed to avoid or exit them.

Gentrification as a Financial Literacy Crisis

One dimension of the financial literacy crisis that receives insufficient attention in educational frameworks is the financial disruption caused by gentrification and neighborhood displacement. Across American cities, rising property values and rents are displacing long-established low-income communities, often without those communities having the financial knowledge to understand what is happening, evaluate their options, or take protective action.

Displacement is not merely a housing issue. It is a financial literacy failure with measurable consequences. Residents who do not understand lease negotiation, tenant legal rights, the credit requirements for new housing, or the financial mechanics of rising property values cannot respond effectively. The National Community Reinvestment Coalition has documented that low-income Black and Hispanic households are displaced from gentrifying neighborhoods at significantly higher rates than white households with comparable incomes, a disparity that reflects in part differences in financial literacy and

access to professional financial guidance (National Community Reinvestment Coalition, 2023). The financial competencies most relevant to displacement (understanding lease terms, building credit scores, knowing tenant rights, and evaluating rent-versus-buy decisions) are precisely those absent from standard curricula.

3. WHY HIGH SCHOOL MODELS FAIL UNDERSERVED ADULTS

Structural Assumption Mismatches

High school personal finance curricula provide meaningful preparation for students on a conventional economic path. But as Table 3 illustrates, they are built on assumptions about the learner that do not hold for underserved adult populations. Understanding these mismatches is the prerequisite for understanding why differentiation is not optional: it is structurally necessary.

Table 3. Assumption Mismatches Between Standard Curricula and Underserved Adult Populations

The Standard Curriculum Assumes...	Reality for Underserved Adult Populations
Regular, predictable employment income	Income is often seasonal, gig-based, tipped, informal, or insufficient to apply standard planning frameworks
A bank account exists or is easily opened	5.9 million households are unbanked; millions distrust financial institutions for historically justified reasons
The learner is at the beginning of their financial life	Most underserved adults are mid-life, with existing debt or damaged credit, not a blank slate
English is the primary language of instruction	Millions of potential learners speak limited English; financial terminology is especially difficult to translate
The financial system is neutral and accessible	The system has demonstrably excluded minority and low-income communities through policies whose effects persist today
Future orientation motivates behavior change	Immediate survival (rent, food, utilities) competes directly with long-term goal-setting
Stable housing provides a planning foundation	Many learners are displaced, doubled-up, or facing eviction
Family financial modeling provides baseline context	Many learners are the first financially literate person in their household

The Sequence Problem

Standard curricula move from earning to saving to spending to investing: a logical sequence for a student entering the workforce. But this sequence is actively

counterproductive for someone in acute financial distress. Teaching compound interest and retirement account mechanics to someone who cannot cover this month's rent does not merely fail to help. It signals that the program was not designed for their reality, and engagement collapses. Effective financial education for underserved populations must sequence based on urgency: what can this learner apply differently this week?

The Relevance and Dignity Problems

Adults learn financial concepts most effectively when those concepts connect directly to decisions they are actually facing. This is a hard prerequisite for engagement and retention, not a soft pedagogical preference. A curriculum that illustrates budgeting with a \$60,000 household income communicates implicitly to someone earning \$24,000 that this curriculum was not written for them.

Standard financial education also carries an implicit assumption: the learner is doing reasonably well and wants to do better. It was not designed for people in financial crisis who may carry significant shame about their circumstances. Research by Mullainathan and Shafir (2013) documents that financial scarcity imposes a cognitive burden that actively impairs decision-making, a neurological response to chronic resource constraint, not a character flaw. Effective financial education for underserved populations must begin with dignity, establishing psychological safety before setting financial goals.

The Delivery Problem

High school curricula are delivered under conditions that simply do not apply to underserved adults: mandatory attendance, standardized schedules, and a building students are already required to enter daily. Adult financial education must compete with irregular work schedules, childcare responsibilities, transportation barriers, and language barriers. If the delivery format cannot accommodate the real constraints of the target population, the quality of the curriculum is irrelevant.

4. A FRAMEWORK FOR DIFFERENTIATED FINANCIAL LITERACY EDUCATION

What Differentiation Means and Does Not Mean

Differentiation in financial literacy education means designing programs that match the specific financial realities, cultural contexts, and urgent needs of a defined population. It does not mean simplifying the content. The mathematical and conceptual foundation of personal finance (compound interest, credit mechanics, the true cost of debt, tax withholding, the relationship between risk and return) is universal. These principles do not change based on income level or zip code.

What differentiation changes is the entry point, the sequencing, the examples, the delivery format, and the cultural framing. The goal is not a simpler version of financial

education for people who have less. It is a more honest, more relevant, and more immediately actionable version of the same financial knowledge, built for the specific financial world the learner actually inhabits.

The Four Pillars

Effective differentiated financial literacy education requires intentional design across four dimensions, as summarized in Table 4. A program that addresses only one or two of these dimensions will underperform regardless of content quality.

Table 4. The Four Pillars of Differentiated Financial Literacy Education

Pillar	Definition	The Design Question
Content Sequencing	Teaching the most urgent financial concepts first, based on the population's actual circumstances rather than the logical architecture of personal finance as an academic subject	What does this learner need to know to make a better financial decision this week?
Contextual Relevance	Using examples, scenarios, and income figures drawn from the population's actual financial reality	Would someone in this community see themselves in this example?
Delivery Design	Matching format, location, session length, language, and timing to how the population can realistically participate	Can this person actually access this program in this format at this time?
Trusted Relationships	Ensuring content reaches the community through people and institutions it already trusts, recognizing that distrust of financial institutions is often historically rational	Will this community receive this message from this messenger?

Measuring What Actually Matters

Knowledge gain is the wrong primary metric for underserved community programs. Knowing what compound interest is and actually changing saving behavior are not the same outcome. Programs must be evaluated on behavioral and financial indicators measured at 90 days and 6 to 12 months post-program. Relevant outcome measures include: whether participants opened a savings account, claimed the EITC, exited a predatory loan, or created a written budget; whether credit scores improved and high-cost debt decreased; whether participants connected to credit unions, tax preparation services, housing counselors, or legal aid; and whether payday loan usage in served zip codes changed over time. Financial literacy education that produces knowledge without behavior change has limited impact on the populations this paper addresses.

5. DIFFERENTIATED APPROACHES FOR FIVE UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS

The following sections profile five distinct underserved populations. For each, the analysis addresses the specific financial challenges that population faces, where standard financial education fails them, and what content priorities and delivery approaches are required to produce genuine behavior change. These are genuinely distinct educational interventions, unified by a common foundation of financial principles.

5.1 Rural Families and Agricultural Communities

Approximately 46 million Americans live in rural areas, with elevated rates of unbanked status and limited access to financial institutions. Income structures are fundamentally different from those assumed by standard curricula: farm income arrives in large, infrequent installments at harvest, and many rural households operate as de facto small businesses without formal business financial training. The nearest bank branch may be 30 to 60 miles away, and rural broadband gaps limit digital delivery options.

A curriculum built around a monthly paycheck and a nearby bank branch describes a financial world that does not exist for most rural households. The paycheck-based budgeting model is structurally inapplicable when total annual income arrives twice a year. Banking and savings lessons are abstract when the nearest financial institution requires a 45-minute drive. Self-employment tax obligations, which affect nearly every farm operator, receive no attention in standard programs despite consistently surprising first-time filers. The content priorities specific to this population are as follows.

Rural Families and Agricultural Communities

- Farm and ranch as a business: revenue, cost, profit, and break-even applied to actual agricultural operations; most operators manage finances by feel rather than by calculation, a gap with measurable financial consequences
- Variable and seasonal income management: building a monthly household budget when income arrives annually or semi-annually; cash flow planning across growing seasons and off-seasons
- Self-employment tax obligations: Schedule F filing, quarterly estimated payments, and deductible farm expenses; the 15.3% self-employment tax rate consistently surprises first-time farm filers
- Agricultural credit and lending: Farm Service Agency (FSA) loan programs, commodity lender terms, equipment financing APR; understanding and comparing true cost across agricultural credit sources
- Agricultural risk management: crop insurance programs, livestock coverage, and USDA risk management tools specific to farm income volatility

- Land-based asset literacy: many rural families hold significant land wealth without understanding how to leverage it for financial planning, credit access, or intergenerational wealth transfer

Delivery should leverage United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Cooperative Extension Services, Farm Bureau chapters, and rural cooperatives. Seasonal intensives during agricultural off-periods at fairgrounds or rural churches are more effective than ongoing weekly classes. The most credible messengers are fellow farmers, not external financial professionals.

5.2 Individuals and Families in Acute Poverty

Approximately 37 million Americans live below the federal poverty line, with 11 million in deep poverty, defined as below 50 percent of the threshold. Research by Mullainathan and Shafir (2013) establishes that financial scarcity consumes cognitive bandwidth in ways that materially affect financial decision-making; this is a documented neurological response, not a behavioral choice. For most in this population, the predatory financial economy (payday lenders, check cashers, rent-to-own retailers) is not a last resort. It is the primary financial infrastructure.

Instructing someone earning \$900 per month, after taxes and before rent, to build a six-month emergency fund is not financial education. It produces shame and disengagement. Any curriculum that opens with investment accounts or retirement planning for a household in acute poverty has already lost the room. The following priorities are sequenced by urgency, each building the foundation for the next.

Individuals and Families in Acute Poverty

- Cash flow mapping first: documenting exactly where every dollar currently goes before any planning is attempted; no other financial concept is accessible without an honest, non-judgmental baseline
- Earned Income Tax Credit recovery: approximately 20% of eligible households fail to claim the EITC, forfeiting an average of \$2,541 annually; this is the single highest-return financial intervention available to this population
- True cost of predatory financial products: converting payday loan fees, check-cashing charges, and rent-to-own contracts into annual dollar amounts; making the extraction visible is the precondition for behavioral change
- Micro-emergency fund: building even \$300 to \$500 eliminates the specific trigger that drives most payday loan cycles; this is the financial dividing line between crisis and stability

- Debt exit strategies: avalanche and snowball repayment applied specifically to the products this group holds (payday rollovers, high-APR store credit, and buy-here-pay-here auto loans)
- Credit building from damaged or absent history: secured cards and credit-builder loans at credit unions; specific steps and institutions, not general principles

Financial education must be embedded within existing services (delivered during wait times at food banks, WIC appointments, and community health center visits) rather than offered as a separate program requiring additional travel. Sessions should not exceed 45 minutes. All content must be built around capability and agency, not deficit framing.

5.3 Government Assistance Recipients

Approximately 100 million Americans receive some form of federal assistance, including SNAP, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Medicaid, Section 8 housing vouchers, and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF). This population faces a financial challenge that does not appear anywhere in standard financial education: the benefits cliff. Earning additional income can trigger loss of combined benefits worth more than the income gained, creating a rational economic disincentive to increase earnings. Congressional Budget Office analysis indicates that effective marginal tax rates for many low-income families navigating simultaneous benefit phase-outs can exceed 80 percent (Congressional Budget Office, 2023).

A program that teaches saving without acknowledging that SSI penalizes savings accounts above \$2,000 (a limit unchanged since 1989) has not merely failed to help. It has given advice that, if followed, could cost the learner their benefits. The following content priorities are specific to this population, several of which would be irrelevant or inapplicable to any other group.

Government Assistance Recipients

- Benefits cliff navigation: understanding at what income level each benefit phases out, how simultaneous phase-outs compound, and how to plan income transitions deliberately rather than stumbling into them; this concept does not exist in any standard financial education program
- Saving within asset limits: the SSI \$2,000 asset cap directly penalizes saving; Achieving a Better Life Experience (ABLE) accounts and Individual Development Accounts (IDAs) are the specific vehicles that allow saving without forfeiting eligibility, and they are almost universally unknown

- Benefits-aware budgeting: constructing a household budget that accounts for which income changes trigger benefit reviews and how employer health benefits interact with Medicaid eligibility
- Tax filing for benefit recipients: correct procedures for filing with mixed income sources, claiming all eligible credits, and avoiding reporting errors that trigger program reviews
- Government impersonation scam protection: fraudulent Social Security Administration (SSA), IRS, and Department of Health and Human Services communications specifically target this population; recognition and reporting skills are financial self-defense

The most effective delivery embeds financial education within existing case management, equipping social workers, TANF case managers, and Medicaid navigators to provide basic financial literacy as a standard component of client interaction. Intervention timing should coincide with natural transitions: new employment, benefits renewals, and job training completion.

5.4 Urban and Inner-City Communities

Concentrated poverty in metropolitan areas is disproportionately experienced by Black and Hispanic households and has been shaped by documented discriminatory financial policies (redlining, exclusionary zoning, and discriminatory mortgage lending) whose generational effects on wealth accumulation persist today (Federal Reserve, 2022). In many urban low-income neighborhoods, payday lenders and check cashers outnumber Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insured bank branches. Simultaneously, accelerating gentrification is displacing established communities faster than residents can understand and respond to the financial forces affecting them.

Standard curricula do not address the predatory economy that functions as the banking system in many urban neighborhoods. They do not address the financial dimensions of displacement. And they do not acknowledge that distrust of financial institutions in these communities is historically rational: a response to documented exploitation, not a barrier to overcome through better program marketing. The following content priorities address the specific financial circumstances of this population.

Urban and Inner-City Communities

- Predatory economy literacy: the annual dollar cost of check-cashing fees, payday loans, and rent-to-own contracts that function as the default financial infrastructure in many urban low-income neighborhoods; making this extraction visible is the precondition for seeking alternatives
- Tenant financial rights and gentrification response: lease negotiation, security deposit law, illegal eviction practices, and the narrow window in which financial knowledge can

meaningfully alter displacement outcomes; this is the most time-sensitive financial education need in communities experiencing rapid neighborhood change

- Credit building and first-generation homeownership: building credit sufficient to access housing alternatives before gentrification prices the community out permanently; down payment assistance programs and Federal Housing Administration (FHA) pathways made specific and actionable with local institutional referrals
- Gig and informal economy tax literacy: self-employment tax obligations and building formal financial records that enable credit access and housing qualification
- Entrepreneurship as a wealth-building pathway: connecting residents to Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI) lending and Small Business Administration (SBA) resources with specific, local referrals

Financial literacy education delivered in communities of color must acknowledge the documented history of discriminatory financial policy (redlining, discriminatory lending, exclusion from federal wealth-building programs) that created structural disadvantages independent of individual financial behavior. This is accurate historical context essential to program credibility. The most effective delivery uses community members who have achieved financial stability as primary educators, not outside financial professionals.

5.5 Immigrants and Refugee Families

Approximately 45 million immigrants live in the United States, with approximately one million refugees resettled since 2000. This population combines high financial motivation with high financial isolation. They have no United States credit history despite potentially decades of responsible financial management abroad. The American banking, tax, and credit systems operate on entirely different principles from those of most countries of origin. Language barriers, rational distrust of government-affiliated institutions, and ongoing obligations to support family abroad further constrain financial decision-making.

Immigrants starting with no United States credit history are in a structurally better position than Americans with damaged credit. There is no negative history to overcome, only a blank record to build correctly from the beginning. The intervention window is narrow (typically the first 6 to 24 months of United States residence), which makes timing and delivery speed critical. The following content priorities assume no prior knowledge of the United States financial system.

Immigrants and Refugee Families

- The United States financial system from first principles: what banks and credit unions are, how accounts function, what FDIC insurance means, and how to open a first account; the withholding system, pay stub, and annual tax filing must be taught before anything else
- Building United States credit from zero: the clean-slate advantage; secured cards, credit-builder loans at credit unions, and authorized user strategies taught as a specific step-by-step process; immigrants who build credit correctly from day one can establish stronger profiles than Americans repairing damaged histories
- Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN) filing and United States tax obligations, including for undocumented workers; many forgo legal refunds out of fear; Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) program partnerships are the delivery vehicle
- Remittance cost management: low-cost international transfer alternatives to high-fee wire services charging 5 to 10 percent per transaction; balancing family remittance obligations with household savings goals without treating remittances as a financial mistake
- Immigration-specific financial fraud: notario fraud, fake immigration services charging for free United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) processes, and wire transfer scams that specifically target newly arrived communities; these do not appear in any standard financial literacy curriculum

Content must be developed bilingually from inception, not translated after the fact, and must acknowledge rather than dismiss cultural attitudes toward debt, collective family financial decision-making, and government-affiliated institutions. Refugee resettlement agencies, bilingual English as a Second Language (ESL) programs, and ethnic community organizations are the most effective delivery partners.

6. IMPLEMENTATION PRINCIPLES

The Trust-First Imperative

The most technically sophisticated financial literacy curriculum will produce no behavior change if the community it targets does not trust the people delivering it. For populations that have been historically exploited by financial institutions or misled by government programs, trust is not a soft prerequisite: it is the foundational condition for learning to occur. Trust-first delivery means that educators are community-embedded rather than externally imported, programs are delivered in spaces the community controls, and the curriculum honestly acknowledges the predatory products, discriminatory history, and structural barriers the learner actually faces.

Content Priorities by Population

Differentiation begins with content selection and sequence. Table 5 summarizes the primary financial pain points and corresponding educational priorities for each of the five populations addressed in this paper. Where topics appear across multiple groups, the

framing, urgency, and specific content differ materially. Several priorities are unique to a single group and would not appear in any standard financial education program.

Table 5. Content Priorities by Population

Population	Primary Financial Pain Points	Top Educational Priorities
Rural families	Seasonal and variable income; no paycheck-based budgeting framework applies; self-employment tax surprises; agricultural debt complexity	Farm-as-business finance; seasonal cash flow management; Schedule F tax obligations; FSA loan literacy; agricultural risk management
Acute poverty	Predatory products as primary financial infrastructure; no financial buffer; income insufficient to apply standard frameworks; EITC routinely unclaimed	Cash flow mapping first; EITC recovery; true cost of predatory products in dollars; micro-emergency fund; debt exit sequencing
Gov. assistance recipients	Benefits cliff creates disincentive to earn more; SSI asset limits punish saving; complex program rule interactions; government impersonation fraud	Benefits cliff navigation; ABLE accounts and IDAs; benefits-aware budgeting; mixed-income tax filing; scam recognition
Urban and inner-city	Predatory economy as default banking system; gentrification forcing high-stakes decisions without financial literacy; generational wealth gap from discriminatory policy	Predatory economy dollar costs; tenant rights and displacement response; credit building; first-generation homeownership pathways; entrepreneurship
Immigrants and refugees	No U.S. financial system baseline; no credit history; language barriers; remittance obligations; immigration-specific fraud targeting	U.S. financial system from first principles; credit building from zero; ITIN filing; remittance cost reduction; immigration fraud literacy

Delivery Formats

Table 6 summarizes recommended delivery formats by population. Format selection is not secondary to content: it is a prerequisite for content to reach the learner at all.

Table 6. Delivery Format Recommendations by Population

Format	Best Population Fit	Duration	Key Design Requirement
Embedded service delivery	Acute poverty; government assistance recipients	30 to 45 minutes per session	Delivered within existing appointments; no additional trip required
Community workshop series	Urban and inner-city; immigrant and refugee families	6 to 8 weeks at 90 minutes per session	Evening and weekend scheduling; childcare provided; peer cohort model

Seasonal intensives	Rural families and agricultural workers	Full-day workshops in off-season	Mobile delivery; offline-capable materials for low-connectivity areas
Peer coaching (one-on-one)	All populations; especially complex benefits or immigration situations	4 to 8 sessions over 2 to 3 months	Coaches from the same community and cultural background as participants
Digital micro-learning	Urban youth; smartphone-accessible populations	Self-paced modules of 15 to 20 minutes	Functions on low-end devices; minimal data consumption
SMS-based delivery	Rural populations; those without reliable smartphone access	Daily or weekly text messages	Plain language; no app required; links to printable resources

Outcome Measurement

The standard measure of financial literacy program success (a knowledge pre- and post-test) is the wrong primary metric for underserved communities. Programs must be evaluated on behavioral and financial indicators measured at 90 days and 6 to 12 months post-program: changes in savings balances, credit scores, and high-cost debt levels; whether participants claimed the EITC, opened a bank account, or created a written budget; and whether they connected to practical financial resources. At the community level, changes in payday loan usage rates and credit union membership in served zip codes provide meaningful aggregate indicators.

The Ecosystem Connection

Financial education in isolation produces incomplete outcomes. A program that teaches credit building but does not connect participants to an institution offering credit-builder loans has omitted the most important step. Effective differentiated financial literacy education must connect participants to the complete practical ecosystem: insured bank accounts, credit union membership, VITA tax preparation services, housing counselors, CDFI loan products, legal aid for tenant rights, and small business technical assistance. The education is the beginning of a relationship, not the end of an intervention.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

For Federal and State Government

First, mandate financial literacy education in all Title I schools with context-relevant curriculum requirements, not a uniform standard that fails to account for the communities those schools serve. Second, fund community-based financial education through CDFI Fund grants, Community Services Block Grants, and flexible TANF funds directed toward financial capability programs. Third, reform SSI asset limits: the \$2,000 individual limit has not been updated since 1989 and actively penalizes saving; indexing

this limit to inflation is a minimum necessary step. Fourth, require EITC outreach and education at SNAP enrollment, given that 20 percent of eligible households forfeit an average of \$2,541 annually. Fifth, mandate benefits cliff counseling as a covered service at benefits enrollment and renewal, so that every participant in a means-tested program receives accurate information about how income changes affect their total economic position. Sixth, fund anti-displacement financial education in rapidly gentrifying communities, making tenant rights, lease literacy, and credit-building pathways to homeownership available wherever neighborhood economic change is accelerating.

For Philanthropic Funders

First, commit to multi-year funding: financial behavior change is not measurable at 12 months, and programs serving underserved communities require 3 to 5 year commitments to demonstrate meaningful outcomes. Second, fund train-the-trainer capacity, since scale requires building community-embedded educator capacity rather than deploying outside experts for one-time programs. Third, cover the full cost of differentiation, including curriculum translation, cultural adaptation, and contextual scenario development, which are real costs that most community organizations cannot absorb. Fourth, require behavioral outcome measurement at 6 and 12 months as a condition of all grants: financial awareness is not the outcome; behavior change is. Fifth, prioritize programs reaching the hardest-to-reach populations, evaluating grantees on whether they serve communities of greatest need, not communities of greatest convenience.

For Community Organizations and Nonprofits

First, embed financial education within existing service delivery rather than creating standalone programs that require separate participation decisions. Second, train frontline staff (case managers, community health workers, social workers) to deliver basic financial literacy as part of standard client interaction, given that the trusted relationship already exists. Third, build and maintain referral networks connecting participants to the complete practical ecosystem: banking, tax preparation, credit building, legal aid, housing counseling, and small business resources. Fourth, commit to long-term community relationships rather than one-time program delivery, recognizing that follow-up, ongoing coaching, and sustained connection are where behavior change actually occurs.

8. CONCLUSION

This paper has argued that effective financial literacy education for underserved adult populations requires differentiation, not as a pedagogical refinement, but as a structural necessity. The high school model was not designed for a seasonal farm worker without a bank account, a family navigating SNAP benefits cliffs, a longtime urban resident being displaced by gentrification, or a refugee learning the American credit system from scratch.

Applying that model to these populations without modification does not merely fall short. It communicates, implicitly, that the content was designed for someone else.

Four arguments have supported this thesis. The financial literacy crisis is compounded by a predatory economy that deliberately targets the unbanked and low-income, and by gentrification that forces financially consequential decisions on communities without the knowledge to make them well. High school curricula fail underserved adults through structural assumption mismatches (in income type, banking access, language, housing stability, and motivational context) that no amount of quality content can overcome if the foundational design is wrong. A four-pillar differentiation framework (content sequencing, contextual relevance, delivery design, and trusted relationships) provides a rigorous structure for designing programs that actually reach and change behavior in underserved communities. And five distinct underserved populations each require genuinely different educational interventions: the content that matters most for rural families, for families in acute poverty, for government assistance recipients, for urban communities facing displacement, and for newly arrived immigrants shares common financial principles but demands different sequences, examples, delivery formats, and cultural framing.

The standard of differentiation proposed here is not a lower standard than the one applied in high school classrooms. It is a higher one. It requires more design work, more cultural competency, more contextual knowledge, and more delivery flexibility than any generic curriculum. The communities described in this paper deserve nothing less. They are navigating, every day, a financial system that was not designed with them in mind. The least that financial educators, policymakers, and funders can do is design their interventions with those communities explicitly in mind, and hold those interventions to outcomes that reflect genuine improvement in financial lives, not merely exposure to financial content.

REFERENCES

- Congressional Budget Office. (2023). Effective marginal tax rates for low- and moderate-income workers. U.S. Congressional Budget Office.*
- Council for Economic Education. (2022). Survey of the states: Economic and personal finance education in our nation's schools. Council for Economic Education.*
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. (2023). 2023 FDIC national survey of unbanked and underbanked households. U.S. Department of the Treasury.*
- Federal Reserve Board. (2022). Survey of consumer finances. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.*
- FINRA Investor Education Foundation. (2023). Financial capability in the United States. FINRA Foundation.*
- Internal Revenue Service. (2023). Statistics of income: Individual income tax returns. U.S. Department of the Treasury.*
- Mullainathan, S., & Shafir, E. (2013). Scarcity: Why having too little means so much. Times Books.*
- National Community Reinvestment Coalition. (2023). Displacement of lower-income families in urban areas. NCRC.*
- Hedger, J. (2025). Advancing high schoolers' financial literacy. National Association of State Boards of Education. <https://www.nasbe.org/advancing-high-schoolers-financial-literacy/> Next Gen Personal Finance. (2025). State of financial education report. Next Gen Personal Finance. <https://www.ngpf.org>*
- Pew Charitable Trusts. (2012). Payday lending in America: Who borrows, where they borrow, and why. Pew Charitable Trusts.*
- Urban Institute. (2022). Financial coaching and counseling evidence review. Urban Institute.*